

File With _____

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 34485-22Defer Re O/H ☐Having considered the contents of the submission dated received 01/04/2024
fromPaul and Patricia Mangan I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material issuesE.O.: Pat BDate: 17/04/2024

For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission

to: _____ Task No: _____

Allow 2/3/4 weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485-22

M _____

Please treat correspondence received on 01/04/2024 as follows:

1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____

2. Acknowledge with BP 233. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐Amendments/Comments Paul + Patricia Mangan response to S.13112/03/24: 02/04/24 ✓

4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(d) Screening ☐(b) GIS Processing ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐(c) Processing ☐RETURN TO EO ☐EO: Pat BDate: 17/04/2024Plans Date Stamped ☐Date Stamped Filled in ☐AA: Anthony McNallyDate: 25/04/2024

Dan Wigglesworth

From: Bord
Sent: Tuesday 2 April 2024 10:00
To: Appeals2
Subject: FW: Reference Number F20A/0668 Case Number ABP- 314485-22
Attachments: North Runway - Relevant Action Letter 1 April 2024.docx

From: Paul Michael Mangan <pmangan@tcd.ie>
Sent: Monday, April 1, 2024 12:32 PM
To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>
Cc: Paul Michael Mangan <pmangan@tcd.ie>
Subject: Reference Number F20A/0668 Case Number ABP- 314485-22

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Dear Sir / Madam

We enclose our observation in relation to the above.

Paul Mangan and Patricia Mangan

pmangan@tcd.ie

An Bord Pleanála
64 Marlborough St.
Dublin 1
D01 V902

By email to bord@pleanala.ie

RE: Reference Number F20A/0668 Case Number ABP- 314485-22

A proposed Development comprising the taking of a 'relevant action' only within the meaning of Section 34C of the Planning and Development Act2000, as amended, which relates to night time use of the runway system at Dublin Airport.

Dear Sir/Madam

Thank you for your letter dated 12 March 2024.

We wish to make the following observations/submissions on this matter:

1. We are shocked to see that the noise contours have extended hugely into the Fingal community and that a very significant number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours.
Firstly, we note that there was no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many residents who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours but yet were never publicly notified until they attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices informed the public.
Secondly, the people who now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they do not qualify because they did not make a submission previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bórd Pleanála did not give a public notice of this significant additional information.
The above is totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.
2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA has never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date.
For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.
3. The contour map contours address only the flight path to the south runway. They do not extend eastwards from Dublin Airport to include areas under the flight path under the North Runway. They do not even reach the R 132 roadway. They should extend all the way to the coastline and

the eligibility for insulation grants should include all areas on the flight paths eastwards from Dublin Airport to the coast.

4. Tom Phillips & Associates refer continuously to the regulatory decision by ANCA in their correspondence. However what is not contained in this correspondence, but is within the EIAR relating to these noise contours, is that the proposal does NOT meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019 by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).
5. Why have the noise contours grown. St Margarets The Ward residents carried out noise monitoring on the North Runway flight path and found the noise levels to be far beyond those PREDICTED by DAA. Their noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Only real measurements should be considered by An Bórd. Why can DAA not submit actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022. The community has done so.
6. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal Development Plan.
These noise zones must now be revised due to the proposed flight path over our area. Fingal County Council considers that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the flight paths now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and B which is simply unacceptable from a health point of view.
7. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise.
Measurements of noise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendation in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human health.
8. By their action DAA shows that it does not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bórd Pleanála. Planning is an afterthought for DAA.
This application must be refused.

Yours Sincerely,

Paul Mangan, Patricia Mangan, 49 Carrickhill Heights, Portmarnock, Co Dublin. D13N202

1 April 2024